

| TITLE | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Does the title represent the content? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Does the title include unnecessary terms or expressions? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

| SUMMARY AND ABSTRACT | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Does the abstract clearly point out the problem of the study? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Do the keywords correctly and sufficiently represent the subject, content and scope of the study? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. Does the author interpret the principal findings after specifying them? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

| INTRODUCTION | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| GENERAL REVIEWS | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Does the author clearly and accurately explain the problem? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Is the theoretical and research information about the problem sufficiently specified in the introduction? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. Does the literature review of the problem critically address contradictions, incoherencies and methodical deficiencies? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 4. Is the rationale of the study emphasized by clarifying any deficiency in the literature? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 5. Does the author indicate the purpose of the study clearly and understandably? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 6. Is the theoretical framework on which the study is based expressed in the introduction? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Literature Review | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Is the literature review sufficient? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Does the author collect the relevant resources? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. Does the author make the necessary comparisons of the relevant resources? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 4. Does the literature review proceed from the general to the specific? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 5. Should the writer make further research the subject after the literature review? | | | | |
| 6. Does the review of the literature provide a basis for the purpose and | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| questions of the study? | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|

| EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Does the author mention the theoretical and practical contributions of the study? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Does the author make the essential proposals in relation to solving the problem? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

| BASIC PRINCIPLES (METHODOLOGICAL COMPETENCE AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FIELD OF STUDY ARE A BASIC PUBLICATION CRITERION) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Yes (1) | Partially (2) | No (3) | Considerations [Please specify your suggestions] |
| 1. Does the research make an original or a unique contribution to the knowledge of the field? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 2. Does the research have a unique argument? Is the argumentation propounded for this study self-consistent? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. Is the intended message of the study given in a clear and understandable way? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 4. Is it scientifically up-to-date? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 5. Does the study make a practical contribution by which experts, politicians and practitioners may profit? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Results | <input type="checkbox"/> Published | | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Published after the above considerations are corrected | | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Re-evaluated after the above considerations are corrected | | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | | | |
| Title Name-Surname | | Date | | Signature |